THE ALVARADO SCORES FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF ACUTE AP-PENDICITIS AT MUHAMMAD MEDICAL COLLEGE HOSPITAL MIRPURKHAS.

Khawaja M.A¹, Khooharo Y², Majeed N³, Majeed N⁴

Abstract:

Objective:

To assess the diagnostic accuracy of the Alvarado scoring system in acute appendicitis for the patients with right iliac fossa pain.

Methods:

This one year descriptive type of study was carried out from 1st December 2008 to 30th November 2009, in the Department of Surgery Unit-I at Muhammad Medical College Hospital (MMCH) Mirpurkhas, Sindh, Pakistan. Hundred consecutive patients of all age group and both genders, who were diagnosed as the case acute appendicitis purely on clinical ground were admitted in surgical unit-I and their Alvarado score was calculated. Irrespective of Alvarado score all the patients underwent surgical intervention and diagnosis was confirmed by operative and histopathological findings were documented on the preformed Performa after written consent of patients.

Results:

We studied 100 consecutive patients who were operated with impression of acute appendicitis purely on clinical basis. A preoperative Alvarado score done in all patients and compare with intraoperative and histopathological findings. Alvarado score cut-off value was ≤ 7 and >7. Appendicitis was confirmed in 45/47 male and 13/15 females having Alvarado score >7. With negative appendectomy rate 6.4%. In contrast to the patient having Alvarado score ≤ 7 having appendectomy rate 29%. The rate of negative appendectomy was higher in females as compared to males and those have score<7.

INTRODUCTION:

Acute appendicitis is a common cause of pain in right dectomy rate with impurity. Observation is not an ideal iliac fossa (RIF) and can be difficult to differentiate es- solution if acute appendicitis is the cause of pain in RIF. pecially during the early stages^{1,2}. Acute appendicitis is Delay in the diagnosis leads to increases morbidity and one of the common surgical emergencies with life time mortality reference needed here. Surgery for acute apprevalence is as high as one in seven ^{3,4}. Although vari- pendicitis is the most frequently performed operation ous aids exist to facilitate more accurate diagnosis and (10% of all abdominal operations)⁷. Our aim of this reduces the rate of negative appendectomies; many are study was to assess the utility and reliability of the Alcomplex. Where as Alvarado score is simple and mostly varado score in cases the of acute appendicitis to avoid comprises of clinical parameters in association with leu- unnecessary exploration. kocyte count⁵. Alvarado score was described by Al- PATIENTS AND METHODS: varado in 1985, against a background of a high negative This one year descriptive type of study was conducted appendicectomy rate (44%)⁶. Prior to surgery the diagnostic accuracy of acute appendicitis remains unsatisfactory ranging from 25% to 90%⁷ and being create a diagnostic problem, especially in females like; pelvic 2008 to 30th November 2009. Patients of all age group inflammatory diseases, ruptured griffin follicles of ovary, ectopic pregnancy and ovarian torsion ⁶ e-t-c. As a result of concern about missed diagnosis, surgeons created for themselves a surgical security zone which al-

- 1. Mashooque Ali Khawaja, Assistant Professor Department of Surgery Muhammad Medical College Mirpurkhas, Sindh, Pakistan.
- 2. Yasmeen Khooharo, Assistant professor Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Muhammad Medical College, Mirpurkhas, Sindh, Pakistan
- Naeem Majeed, Research officer Lady willing don hospi-3. tal Lahore-Research Unit, Punjab Safe-motherhood Program

Numan Majeed, Student 4th Year MBBS, Muhammad 4. Medical College Mirpurkhas, Sindh, Pakistan *=corresponding author: Asst: Prof. Dr. Yasmeen Khooharo, Email: ykhooharo@yahoo.com

lowed them to accept 15-30 percent negative appen-

in the Department of surgery unit-I at Muhammad Medical College Mirpurkhas, Sindh, Pakistan on 100 consecutive patients with pain in the RIF from 1st December and the both gender, who were diagnosed clinically as a case of acute appendicitis were included in this study. The patients having appendicular lump, clinical features of generalized peritonitis and age bellow 14 years were excluded. After admission detailed history, examination and relevant laboratory investigations (Total leucocyte/ neutrophil count) were carried out by Resident Medical officer in the emergency room and preformed Performa of Alvarado score was filled. A Performa containing general information about the patient and variables of Alvarado score such as; Symptoms Score

eympteme	0001
Migratory right iliac fossa pain	01
Anorexia	01
Nausea / Vomiting	01
Sians	

Tenderness in RIF	02	
Rebound tenderness RIF	01	
Elevated temperature	01	
Laboratory Finding		
Leukocytes count	02	
Shift to the left of neutrophils	01	
•		

Total score

All admitted cases were reviewed by operating surgeon. Irrespective of Alvarado score patients who were clinically diagnosed as a case of acute appendicitis prepared for conventional laparotomy/appendectomy under general anesthesia. Alvarado score was correlated with operative findings and histopathological findings.

10

RESULTS:

This study comprises of total 100 patients, 68 males and Figure - II 32 females of different age group ranging from 14 years to 78 years (mean age was 23 years). Most of the patients were in 16-30 years of age (56%) cases (Figure-I).Right iliac fossa pain was the chief complain and tenderness was chief sign (Table -I). Alvarado score cut-off value was 7. Thirty eight patients having Alvarado score \leq 7 while in remaining 62 cases the score was >7. The negative laprotomy / appendectomy rate in cases having Alvarado score \leq 7 for males and females was 19% and 41% respectively; (over all 29%) Alvarado score <7 having different intra abdominal conditions was seen in 11 (28.9%) cases (Figure-II). While in cases where Alvarado score > 7 the negative laprotomy /appendectomy rate was 4% for males and 13% for females; with (overall 16.4%). Only 4 (6.4%) cases had an intra abdominal pathology with Alvarado score >7 (Figure- III).

Table -	Clinica	l Features ((n=100))
---------	---------	--------------	--------	----

CLINICAL FEATURES	NUMBER OF PATIENTS
1. Pain Right Iliac fossa Para umbilical Generalized Lower Abdomen Epigastric	72 10 09 06 03
2. Nausea/Vomiting	55
3. Anorexia	40
4. Epigastric Burning	12
5. Increase temperature	38
6. Increase Pulse rate	28
7. Rebound tenderness	78
8. Rectal tenderness	16

Table-II

	Male Pat	ients 68	
Sex	Female Patient 32		
Alvarado Score	≤ 7±38	Alvarado Score	≤ 7±62
Male	21	Male	4
	(55%)		(19%)
Female	17	Female	7
	(45%)		(41%)
Negative	11	Negative	4
Appendectomy	(29%)	Appendectomy	(6.5%)
In Male	6	In Male	2 (4%)
	(19%)		
In Female	7	In Female	2
	(41%)		(3.3%)





Figure - III

Final diagnosis of patients with Alvarado score having > 7 (n=62)



J Muhammad Med Coll

DISCUSSION:

Acute appendicitis still poses a diagnostic challenge in spite of radical advances in medical technology. Recently a good clinical acumen remains the main stay of cor- ing system with respect to age, sex and time of presentarect diagnosis.⁷ Several studies validated the Alvarado score using different cut off points.^{8,11} In our study cut off point of Alvarado score was ≤ 7 or > 7. In this study we analyze the utility and efficacy of Alvarado score in our peripheral tertiary setup so that we are able to better interpret the scores which will help us to improve the usage of diagnostic tool in emergency/out patient department (OPD) and to reduce the rate of un necessary surgical intervention . In this study out of 100 patients 38 patients with Alvarado score <7 including 21(55%) males and 17(45%) female cases, while remaining 62 patients having score >7 including 15(24%) females and 47(76%) males. In our study 11/38 (28.9%) cases having Al- curacy Score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Pak varado score <7 showed normal appendix on exploration J Med sci 2009; 25(1):118-121. and histopathology report were 4(19%) in males and 7 (41%) in females that was observed in national and in-ternational studies.^{7,12-14} In contrast 62 patients with Alvarado score > 7 includes 47 (75.8%) males and 15 (24.2%) females, only 4(6.4%) cases having normal appendix on exploration and histopathology which includes2 (4.2%) males and 2(13.3%) females that is rela-tively same to other studies .^{10,14-16} However in our study population those who had score <7 but proceeded to surgery purely on surgeons decision have evidence of acute appendicitis on exploration and histopathological findings in males was 76% and 59% in females (over all 68.4%).^{7,13,16} We have observed that patient having clinical picture of appendicitis in different abdominal conditions were common in females and those cases where Alvarado score was $\leq 7.3^{,7-9}$ Literature supports this observation that in females additional investigations are needed to support the diagnosis.^{7,16-18}

CONCLUSION:

Therefore, we conclude from above study that the female patients and those cases having Alvarado score ≤ 7 needs additional investigation. Of all the parameters maximum stress should be laid on history and clinical findings.

REFERENCES:

1. Stephens PL, Mazzulcco JJ. Comparison of Ultrasound and the Alvarado Score pf the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Conn Med 1999; 63(3); 137-40.

Ramirez JM, Deus J. Practical Score to aid decision making in doubtful cases of appendicitis. Br J Surg 2001; 81:860-83.

3. Pal KM, Khan A. Appendicitis: A Continuing Challenge JPMA 2001; 48(7):189-92.

Christian F, Christian GP. A Simple Scoring System to retune the negative appendectomy rate. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 1992;74:281-5.

5. Khan I, Rehman A. Application of Alvarado Scoring System in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. J Ayub Med Coll Abottabad 2005; 17:41-4.

6. Fenyo G, Lindberg G, Blind P, Enchsson L, Oberg. Diagnostic dicision support in suspected acute appendi-

J Muhammad Med Coll

citis: Validation of a simplified scoring system. Eur J Surg 1997; 163(11)831-38.

7. Durrani M, Wani M.M, Shafi M, et al Alvarado scortion with regression analysis of individual parameters. The Internet journal of surgery .2007; 11(2):2-5.

8. Shrivastava UK, Gupta A, Sharma D. Evaluation of Alvarado score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis, Trop gastroenterology 2004; 25(4):184-6.

9. Al Hashemy AM, Saleem MI. Appraisal of the modified Alvarado score for acute appendicitis in adults. Saudi Med J 2004; 25:1229-31.

10. Alvarado A.A Practical score for the early diagnosis of acute appendicitis .Ann Emerg Med 1986; 15:557-64.

11. Memon AA, Vohra LM Khaliq T. et al .Diagnostic Ac-

12. Malik KA, Sheikh MR. Role of modified Alvarado score in acute appendicitis. Pak J Surg. 2007; 23(4):251 -54

13. Malik AA, Wani NA. Continuing diagnostic challenge of acute appendicitis. Evaluation through modified Alvarado score. Aust N Z J Surg 1998; 68:504-5.

14. Bukhari SAH, Rana SH. Alvarado Score: A new approach to acute appendicitis. Pak Armed Forces Med J 2002; 52:47-50.

15. Ohmann C, Frank C, Yang Q. Clinical benefit of diagnostic score for appendicitis Aarch Surg 1999; 134:993-996.

16. Owen TD, Williams H, Stiff G et al. Evaluation of the Alvarado score in acute appendicitis .JR Soc Med 1992; 85:87-88.

17. Kalliakmanis V, Pikoulis E, Karavokyros IG, et al. Acute appendicitis: The reliability of diagnosis by clinical assessment alone .Scandinavian Journal of surgery 2005; 94:201-206.

18. Sanei B, Mahmoodieh M, Hosseinpour M. Evaluation of validity of Alvarado score system for diagnosis of acute appendicitis Pak j Med sci.2009;25(2):298-301.